

On the ratio between 2-domination and total outer-independent domination numbers of trees*

Marcin KRZYWKOWSKI**

Abstract A 2-dominating set of a graph G is a set D of vertices of G such that every vertex of $V(G) \setminus D$ has at least two neighbors in D . A total outer-independent dominating set of a graph G is a set D of vertices of G such that every vertex of G has a neighbor in D , and the set $V(G) \setminus D$ is independent. The 2-domination (total outer-independent domination, respectively) number of a graph G is the minimum cardinality of a 2-dominating (total outer-independent dominating, respectively) set of G . We investigate the ratio between 2-domination and total outer-independent domination numbers of trees.

Keywords 2-domination, total domination, total outer-independent domination,
tree

2000 MR Subject Classification 05C05, 05C69

1 Introduction

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. By the neighborhood of a vertex v of G we mean the set $N_G(v) = \{u \in V(G) : uv \in E(G)\}$. The degree of a vertex v , denoted by $d_G(v)$, is the cardinality of its neighborhood. By a leaf we mean a vertex of degree one, while a support vertex is a vertex adjacent to a leaf. We say that a subset of $V(G)$ is independent if there is no edge between any two vertices of this set. The path on n vertices we denote by P_n . By a star we mean a connected graph in which exactly one vertex has degree greater than one. Let T be a tree, and let v be a vertex of T . We say that v is adjacent to a path P_n if there is a neighbor of v , say x , such that the subtree resulting from T by removing the edge vx and which contains the vertex x as a leaf, is a path P_n .

A subset $D \subseteq V(G)$ is a dominating set of G if every vertex of $V(G) \setminus D$ has a neighbor in D , while it is a 2-dominating set, abbreviated 2DS, of G if every vertex of $V(G) \setminus D$ has at least two neighbors in D . The domination (2-domination, respectively) number of a graph G , denoted by $\gamma(G)$ ($\gamma_2(G)$, respectively), is the minimum cardinality of a dominating (2-dominating, respectively) set of G . A 2-dominating set of G of minimum cardinality is called a $\gamma_2(G)$ -set. Note that 2-domination is a type of multiple domination in which each vertex, which is not in the dominating set, is dominated at least k times for a fixed positive integer k . Multiple

Manuscript received

**Department of Algorithms and System Modelling, Faculty of Electronics, Telecommunications and Informatics, Gdańsk University of Technology
Narutowicza 11/12, 80-233 Gdańsk, Poland
E-mail: marcin.krzywkowski@gmail.com

*Research partially supported by the Polish National Science Centre grant 2011/02/A/ST6/00201.

domination was introduced by Fink and Jacobson [12], and further studied for example in [4, 5, 14, 15, 20, 22]. For a comprehensive survey of domination in graphs, see [16, 17].

A subset $D \subseteq V(G)$ is a total dominating set of G if every vertex of G has a neighbor in D . The total domination number of G , denoted by $\gamma_t(G)$, is the minimum cardinality of a total dominating set of G . Total domination in graphs was introduced by Cockayne, Dawes, and Hedetniemi [7], and further studied for example in [1–3, 8–11, 13, 18, 19, 23, 24].

A subset $D \subseteq V(G)$ is a total outer-independent dominating set, abbreviated TOIDS, of G if every vertex of G has a neighbor in D , and the set $V(G) \setminus D$ is independent. The total outer-independent domination number of G , denoted by $\gamma_t^{oi}(G)$, is the minimum cardinality of a total outer-independent dominating set of G . A total outer-independent dominating set of G of minimum cardinality is called a $\gamma_t^{oi}(G)$ -set. The study of total outer-independent domination in graphs was initiated in [21].

The authors of [6] gave upper bounds on the ratios of several domination parameters in trees.

We investigate the ratio between 2-domination and total outer-independent domination numbers of trees.

2 Results

Since the one-vertex graph does not have a total outer-independent dominating set, in this paper, by a tree we mean only a connected graph with no cycle, and which has at least two vertices.

We begin with the following three straightforward observations.

Observation 2.1 Every support vertex of a graph G is in every $\gamma_t^{oi}(G)$ -set.

Observation 2.2 For every connected graph G of diameter at least three there exists a $\gamma_t^{oi}(G)$ -set that contains no leaf.

Observation 2.3 Every leaf of a graph G is in every $\gamma_2(G)$ -set.

Let T be a tree. Let us observe that the ratio $\gamma_2(T)/\gamma_t^{oi}(T)$ is not bounded above as attaching a new vertex to any support vertex increases the 2-domination number while not affecting the total outer-independent domination number.

We show that $(\gamma_2(T) + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T) + 2) \geq 3/4$, for every tree T . For the purpose of characterizing the trees attaining this bound we introduce a family \mathcal{T} of trees $T = T_k$ that can be obtained as follows. Let $T_1 \in \{P_2, P_3\}$. If k is a positive integer, then T_{k+1} can be obtained recursively from T_k by one of the following operations.

- Operation \mathcal{O}_1 : Attach a path P_6 by joining one of its leaves to a vertex of T_k adjacent to a path P_6 .
- Operation \mathcal{O}_2 : Attach a path P_6 by joining one of its leaves to a vertex of T_k adjacent to a support vertex of degree two.
- Operation \mathcal{O}_3 : Attach a path P_6 by joining one of its leaves to any leaf of $T_k \neq P_2$.

Now we prove that for every tree of the family \mathcal{T} , the ratio between the 2-domination number plus one and the total outer-independent domination number plus two equals three fourths.

Lemma 2.1 *If $T \in \mathcal{T}$, then $(\gamma_2(T) + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T) + 2) = 3/4$.*

Proof. We use the induction on the number k of operations performed to construct the tree T . If $T = T_1 \in \{P_2, P_3\}$, then $\gamma_2(T) = \gamma_t^{oi}(T) = 2$. We have $(\gamma_2(T) + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T) + 2) = 3/4$. Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer. Assume that the result is true for every tree $T' = T_k$ of the family \mathcal{T} constructed by $k - 1$ operations. Let $T = T_{k+1}$ be a tree of the family \mathcal{T} constructed by k operations.

First assume that T is obtained from T' operation \mathcal{O}_1 . The vertex to which is attached P_6 we denote by x . Let $v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5v_6$ be the attached path. Let v_1 be joined to x . Let $abcdef$ denote a path P_6 adjacent to x and different from $v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5v_6$. Let x and a be adjacent. Let us observe that there exists a $\gamma_2(T')$ -set that contains the vertices d , b , and x . Let D' be such a set. It is easy to observe that $D' \cup \{v_2, v_4, v_6\}$ is a 2DS of the tree T . Thus $\gamma_2(T) \leq \gamma_2(T') + 3$. Now let us observe that there exists a $\gamma_2(T)$ -set that does not contain the vertices v_5 , v_3 , and v_1 . Let D be such a set. By Observation 2.3 we have $v_6 \in D$. No one of the vertices v_2 and v_4 has a neighbor in D , thus $v_2, v_4 \in D$. Observe that $D \setminus \{v_2, v_4, v_6\}$ is a 2DS of the tree T' . Therefore $\gamma_2(T') \leq \gamma_2(T) - 3$. This implies that $\gamma_2(T) = \gamma_2(T') + 3$. Now let D' be any $\gamma_t^{oi}(T')$ -set. It is easy to observe that $D' \cup \{v_1, v_2, v_4, v_5\}$ is a TOIDS of the tree T . Thus $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \leq \gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 4$. Now let us observe that there exists a $\gamma_t^{oi}(T)$ -set that does not contain the vertices v_3 and c , and does not contain any leaf. Let D be such a set. By Observation 2.1 we have $v_5 \in D$. Each one of the vertices b , v_2 , and v_5 has to have a neighbor in D , thus $a, v_1, v_4 \in D$. We have $v_2 \in D$ as the set $V(T) \setminus D$ is independent. Let us observe that $D \setminus \{v_1, v_2, v_4, v_5\}$ is a TOIDS of the tree T' as the vertex x has a neighbor in $D \setminus \{v_1, v_2, v_4, v_5\}$. Therefore $\gamma_t^{oi}(T') \leq \gamma_t^{oi}(T) - 4$. This implies that $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) = \gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 4$. Now we get $(\gamma_2(T) + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T) + 2) = (\gamma_2(T') + 1 + 3)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 6) = (3(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2)/4 + 3)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 6) = (3\gamma_t^{oi}(T')/4 + 9/2)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 6) = 3/4$.

Now assume that T is obtained from T' by operation \mathcal{O}_2 . The vertex to which is attached P_6 we denote by x . Let $v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5v_6$ be the attached path. Let v_1 be joined to x . Let a denote a support vertex of degree two adjacent to x . The leaf adjacent to a we denote by b . Let us observe that there exists a $\gamma_2(T')$ -set that contains the vertex x . Let D' be such a set. It is easy to observe that $D' \cup \{v_2, v_4, v_6\}$ is a 2DS of the tree T . Thus $\gamma_2(T) \leq \gamma_2(T') + 3$. In the same way as when considering the operation \mathcal{O}_1 we conclude that $\gamma_2(T') \leq \gamma_2(T) - 3$. This implies that $\gamma_2(T) = \gamma_2(T') + 3$. Now let us observe that there exists a $\gamma_t^{oi}(T)$ -set that does not contain the vertex v_3 , and does not contain any leaf. Let D be such a set. By Observation 2.1 we have $v_5, a \in D$. Each one of the vertices v_2 and v_5 has to have a neighbor in D , thus $v_1, v_4 \in D$. We have $v_2 \in D$ as the set $V(T) \setminus D$ is independent. Let us observe that $D \setminus \{v_1, v_2, v_4, v_5\}$ is a TOIDS of the tree T' as the vertex x has a neighbor in $D \setminus \{v_1, v_2, v_4, v_5\}$. Therefore $\gamma_t^{oi}(T') \leq \gamma_t^{oi}(T) - 4$. In the same way as when considering the operation \mathcal{O}_1 we conclude that $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \leq \gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 4$. This implies that $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) = \gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 4$. Now we get $(\gamma_2(T) + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T) + 2) = (\gamma_2(T') + 1 + 3)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 6) = (3(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2)/4 + 3)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 6) = (3\gamma_t^{oi}(T')/4 + 9/2)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 6) = 3/4$.

Now assume that T is obtained from T' by operation \mathcal{O}_3 . The leaf to which is attached P_6 we denote by x . Let $v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5v_6$ be the attached path. Let v_1 be joined to x . The neighbor of x other than v_1 we denote by y . Let D' be any $\gamma_2(T')$ -set. By Observation 2.3 we have $x \in D'$. It is easy to observe that $D' \cup \{v_2, v_4, v_6\}$ is a 2DS of the tree T . Thus $\gamma_2(T) \leq \gamma_2(T') + 3$. In the

same way as when considering the operation \mathcal{O}_1 we conclude that $\gamma_2(T') \leq \gamma_2(T) - 3$. This implies that $\gamma_2(T) = \gamma_2(T') + 3$. Now let us observe that there exists a $\gamma_t^{oi}(T)$ -set that does not contain the vertices v_6, v_3 , and x . Let D be such a set. By Observation 2.1 we have $v_5 \in D$. Each one of the vertices v_2 and v_5 has to have a neighbor in D , thus $v_1, v_4 \in D$. We have $v_2, y \in D$ as the set $V(T) \setminus D$ is independent. Let us observe that $D \setminus \{v_1, v_2, v_4, v_5\}$ is a TOIDS of the tree T' as the vertex x has a neighbor in $D \setminus \{v_1, v_2, v_4, v_5\}$. Therefore $\gamma_t^{oi}(T') \leq \gamma_t^{oi}(T) - 4$. In the same way as when considering the operation \mathcal{O}_1 we conclude that $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \leq \gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 4$. This implies that $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) = \gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 4$. Now we get $(\gamma_2(T) + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T) + 2) = (\gamma_2(T') + 1 + 3)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 6) = (3(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2)/4 + 3)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 6) = (3\gamma_t^{oi}(T')/4 + 9/2)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 6) = 3/4$. \square

Now we establish the main result, a lower bound on the ratio between the 2-domination number of a tree plus one and its total outer-independent domination number plus two, together with a characterization of the extremal trees.

Theorem 2.1 *If T is a tree, then $(\gamma_2(T) + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T) + 2) \geq 3/4$ with equality if and only if $T \in \mathcal{T}$.*

Proof. Let n mean the number of vertices of the tree T . We proceed by induction on this number. If $\text{diam}(T) = 1$, then $T = P_2 \in \mathcal{T}$. By Lemma 2.1 we have $(\gamma_2(T) + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T) + 2) = 3/4$. Now assume that $\text{diam}(T) = 2$. Thus T is a star. We have $\gamma_2(T) = n - 1$ and $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) = 2$. We get $(\gamma_2(T) + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T) + 2) = n/4 \geq 3/4$. If $(\gamma_2(T) + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T) + 2) = 3/4$, then $n = 3$. Consequently, $T = P_3 \in \mathcal{T}$.

Now assume that $\text{diam}(T) \geq 3$. Thus the order n of the tree T is at least four. The result we obtain by the induction on the number n . Assume that the theorem is true for every tree T' of order $n' < n$.

First assume that some support vertex of T , say x , is adjacent to at least three leaves. Let y be a leaf adjacent to x . Let $T' = T - y$. Let D' be any $\gamma_t^{oi}(T')$ -set. By Observation 2.1 we have $x \in D'$. It is easy to see that D' is a TOIDS of the tree T . Thus $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \leq \gamma_t^{oi}(T')$. Now let D be any $\gamma_2(T)$ -set. By Observation 2.3 we have $y \in D$. Let us observe that $D \setminus \{y\}$ is a 2DS of the tree T' as the vertex x has at least two neighbors in $D \setminus \{y\}$. Therefore $\gamma_2(T') \leq \gamma_2(T) - 1$. Now we get $(\gamma_2(T) + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T) + 2) \geq (\gamma_2(T') + 2)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2) > (\gamma_2(T') + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2) \geq 3/4$. Henceforth, we can assume that every support vertex of T is adjacent to at most two leaves.

We now root T at a vertex r of maximum eccentricity $\text{diam}(T)$. Let t be a leaf at maximum distance from r , v be the parent of t , and u be the parent of v in the rooted tree. If $\text{diam}(T) \geq 4$, then let w be the parent of u . If $\text{diam}(T) \geq 5$, then let d be the parent of w . If $\text{diam}(T) \geq 6$, then let e be the parent of d . If $\text{diam}(T) \geq 7$, then let f be the parent of e . By T_x let us denote the subtree induced by a vertex x and its descendants in the rooted tree T .

First assume that $d_T(v) = 3$. The leaf adjacent to v and different from t we denote by a . Let $T' = T - T_v$. Let D' be any $\gamma_t^{oi}(T')$ -set. If $u \in D'$, then it is easy to see that $D' \cup \{v\}$ is a TOIDS of the tree T . Now assume that $u \notin D'$. Let us observe that $D' \cup \{u, v\}$ is a TOIDS of the tree T . Thus $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \leq \gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2$. Now let us observe that there exists a $\gamma_2(T)$ -set that does not contain the vertex v . Let D be such a set. By Observation 2.3 we have $t, a \in D$. Observe that $D \setminus \{t, a\}$ is a 2DS of the tree T' . Therefore $\gamma_2(T') \leq \gamma_2(T) - 2$. Now we get $(\gamma_2(T) + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T) + 2) \geq (\gamma_2(T') + 1 + 2)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 4) \geq (3(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2)/4 + 2)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 4) = (3\gamma_t^{oi}(T')/4 + 7/2)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 4) = (3/4) \cdot (\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 14/3)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 4) > 3/4$.

Now assume that $d_T(v) = 2$. First assume that among the children of u there is a support vertex, say x , different from v . Let $T' = T - T_v$. Let D' be a $\gamma_t^{oi}(T')$ -set that contains no leaf. The vertex x has to have a neighbor in D' , thus $u \in D'$. It is easy to see that $D' \cup \{v\}$ is a TOIDS of the tree T . Thus $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \leq \gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 1$. Now let us observe that there exists a $\gamma_2(T)$ -set that does not contain the vertex v . Let D be such a set. By Observation 2.3 we have $t \in D$. Observe that $D \setminus \{t\}$ is a 2DS of the tree T' . Therefore $\gamma_2(T') \leq \gamma_2(T) - 1$. Now we get $(\gamma_2(T) + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T) + 2) \geq (\gamma_2(T') + 1 + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 3) \geq (3(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2)/4 + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 3) = (3\gamma_t^{oi}(T')/4 + 5/2)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 3) = (3/4) \cdot (\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 10/3)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 3) > 3/4$.

Now assume that some child of u , say x , is a leaf. Let $T' = T - x$. Let D' be a $\gamma_t^{oi}(T')$ -set that contains no leaf. The vertex v has to have a neighbor in D' , thus $u \in D'$. It is easy to see that D' is a TOIDS of the tree T . Thus $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \leq \gamma_t^{oi}(T')$. Now let us observe that there exists a $\gamma_2(T)$ -set that contains the vertex u . Let D be such a set. By Observation 2.3 we have $x \in D$. It is easy to observe that $D \setminus \{x\}$ is a 2DS of the tree T' . Therefore $\gamma_2(T') \leq \gamma_2(T) - 1$. Now we get $(\gamma_2(T) + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T) + 2) \geq (\gamma_2(T') + 2)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2) > (\gamma_2(T') + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2) \geq 3/4$.

Now assume that $d_T(u) = 2$. Let k be a child of w different from u . Let us observe that it suffices to consider only the possibilities when $d_T(k) \leq 2$. First assume that $d_T(w) \geq 4$. Let l be a child of w different from u and k . Let us observe that it suffices to consider only the possibilities when $d_T(l) \leq 2$. Let $T' = T - T_u$. Let D' be any $\gamma_t^{oi}(T')$ -set. It is easy to observe that $D' \cup \{u, v\}$ is a TOIDS of the tree T . Thus $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \leq \gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2$. Let us observe that there exists a $\gamma_2(T)$ -set that contains the vertex u . Let D be such a set. By Observation 2.3 we have $t \in D$. The set D is minimal, thus $v \notin D$. If $w \in D$, then it is easy to observe that $D \setminus \{u, t\}$ is a 2DS of the tree T' . Now assume that $w \notin D$. Thus both vertices k and l belong to the set D as each one of them has at most one neighbor in the set D . Let us observe that $D \setminus \{u, t\}$ is a 2DS of the tree T' as the vertex w has at least two neighbors in $D \setminus \{u, t\}$. Therefore $\gamma_2(T') \leq \gamma_2(T) - 2$. Now we get $(\gamma_2(T) + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T) + 2) \geq (\gamma_2(T') + 1 + 2)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 4) \geq (3(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2)/4 + 2)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 4) = (3\gamma_t^{oi}(T')/4 + 7/2)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 4) = (3/4) \cdot (\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 14/3)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 4) > 3/4$.

Now assume that $d_T(w) = 3$. First assume that the distance of w to the most distant vertex of T_k is three. It suffices to consider only the possibility when T_k is a path P_3 , say klm . Let $T' = T - T_w$. Let D' be any $\gamma_t^{oi}(T')$ -set. It is easy to observe that $D' \cup \{w, u, v, k, l\}$ is a TOIDS of the tree T . Thus $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \leq \gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 5$. Now let us observe that there exists a $\gamma_2(T)$ -set that does not contain the vertices v, l , and w . Let D be such a set. By Observation 2.3 we have $t, m \in D$. No one of the vertices u and k has a neighbor in the set D , thus $u, k \in D$. Observe that $D \setminus \{u, t, k, m\}$ is a 2DS of the tree T' . Therefore $\gamma_2(T') \leq \gamma_2(T) - 4$. Now we get $(\gamma_2(T) + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T) + 2) \geq (\gamma_2(T') + 1 + 4)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 7) \geq (3(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2)/4 + 4)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 7) = (3\gamma_t^{oi}(T')/4 + 11/2)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 7) = (3/4) \cdot (\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 22/3)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 7) > 3/4$.

Now assume that the distance of w to the most distant vertex of T_k is two. Thus k is a support vertex of degree two. The leaf adjacent to k we denote by l . Let $T' = T - T_u$. Let D' be any $\gamma_t^{oi}(T')$ -set. It is easy to see that $D' \cup \{u, v\}$ is a TOIDS of the tree T . Thus $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \leq \gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2$. Now let us observe that there exists a $\gamma_2(T)$ -set that contains the vertices u and w . Let D be such a set. By Observation 2.3 we have $t \in D$. The set D is minimal, thus $v \notin D$. It is easy to observe that $D \setminus \{u, t\}$ is a 2DS of the tree T' . Therefore $\gamma_2(T') \leq \gamma_2(T) - 2$. Now we get $(\gamma_2(T) + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T) + 2) \geq (\gamma_2(T') + 1 + 2)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 4) \geq (3(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2)/4 + 2)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 4) = (3\gamma_t^{oi}(T')/4 + 7/2)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 4) = (3/4) \cdot (\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 14/3)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 4) > 3/4$.

Now assume that k is a leaf. Let $T' = T - T_w$. Let D' be any $\gamma_t^{oi}(T')$ -set. It is easy to observe that $D' \cup \{w, u, v\}$ is a TOIDS of the tree T . Thus $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \leq \gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 3$. Now let us observe that there exists a $\gamma_2(T)$ -set that does not contain the vertices v and w . Let D be such a set. By Observation 2.3 we have $t, k \in D$. The vertex u has no neighbor in the set D , thus $u \in D$. Observe that $D \setminus \{u, t, k\}$ is a 2DS of the tree T' . Therefore $\gamma_2(T') \leq \gamma_2(T) - 3$. Now we get $(\gamma_2(T) + 1) / (\gamma_t^{oi}(T) + 2) \geq (\gamma_2(T') + 1 + 3) / (\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 5) \geq (3(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2) / 4 + 3) / (\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 5) = (3\gamma_t^{oi}(T') / 4 + 9/2) / (\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 5) = (3/4) \cdot (\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 6) / (\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 5) > 3/4$.

If $d_T(w) = 1$, then $T = P_4$. We get $(\gamma_2(T) + 1) / (\gamma_t^{oi}(T) + 2) = 4/4 > 3/4$, a contradiction. Now assume that $d_T(w) = 2$. First assume that there is a child of d other than w , say k , such that the distance of d to the most distant vertex of T_k is four. It suffices to consider only the possibility when T_k is a path P_4 , say $klmp$. Let $T' = T - T_w$. Let us observe that there exists a $\gamma_t^{oi}(T')$ -set that does not contain the vertex k . Let D' be such a set. The set $V(T') \setminus D'$ is independent, thus $d \in D'$. It is easy to see that $D' \cup \{u, v\}$ is a TOIDS of the tree T . Thus $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \leq \gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2$. Now let us observe that there exists a $\gamma_2(T)$ -set that does not contain the vertices v and w . Let D be such a set. By Observation 2.3 we have $t \in D$. The vertex u has no neighbor in D , thus $u \in D$. Observe that $D \setminus \{u, t\}$ is a 2DS of the tree T' . Therefore $\gamma_2(T') \leq \gamma_2(T) - 2$. Now we get $(\gamma_2(T) + 1) / (\gamma_t^{oi}(T) + 2) \geq (\gamma_2(T') + 1 + 2) / (\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 4) \geq (3(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2) / 4 + 2) / (\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 4) = (3\gamma_t^{oi}(T') / 4 + 7/2) / (\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 4) = (3/4) \cdot (\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 14/3) / (\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 4) > 3/4$.

Now assume that there is a child of d , say k , such that the distance of d to the most distant vertex of T_k is three. It suffices to consider only the possibility when T_k is a path P_3 , say klm . Let $T' = T - T_v$. Let D' be a $\gamma_t^{oi}(T')$ -set that contains no leaf. By Observation 2.1 we have $w \in D'$. Each one of the vertices w and l has to have a neighbor in D' , thus $d, k \in D'$. Let us observe that $D' \setminus \{w\} \cup \{u, v\}$ is a TOIDS of the tree T . Thus $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \leq \gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 1$. Now let us observe that there exists a $\gamma_2(T)$ -set that does not contain the vertex v . Let D be such a set. By Observation 2.3 we have $t \in D$. Observe that $D \setminus \{t\}$ is a 2DS of the tree T' . Therefore $\gamma_2(T') \leq \gamma_2(T) - 1$. Now we get $(\gamma_2(T) + 1) / (\gamma_t^{oi}(T) + 2) \geq (\gamma_2(T') + 1 + 1) / (\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 3) \geq (3(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2) / 4 + 1) / (\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 3) = (3\gamma_t^{oi}(T') / 4 + 5/2) / (\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 3) = (3/4) \cdot (\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 10/3) / (\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 3) > 3/4$.

Now assume that there is a child of d , say k , such that the distance of d to the most distant vertex of T_k is two. Thus k is a support vertex of degree two. The leaf adjacent to k we denote by l . Let $T' = T - T_k$. Let us observe that there exists a $\gamma_t^{oi}(T')$ -set that does not contain the vertex w . Let D' be such a set. The set $V(T') \setminus D'$ is independent, thus $d \in D'$. It is easy to see that $D' \cup \{k\}$ is a TOIDS of the tree T . Thus $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \leq \gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 1$. Now let us observe that there exists a $\gamma_2(T)$ -set that does not contain the vertex k . Let D be such a set. By Observation 2.3 we have $l \in D$. Observe that $D \setminus \{l\}$ is a 2DS of the tree T' . Therefore $\gamma_2(T') \leq \gamma_2(T) - 1$. Now we get $(\gamma_2(T) + 1) / (\gamma_t^{oi}(T) + 2) \geq (\gamma_2(T') + 1 + 1) / (\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 3) \geq (3(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2) / 4 + 1) / (\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 3) = (3\gamma_t^{oi}(T') / 4 + 5/2) / (\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 3) = (3/4) \cdot (\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 10/3) / (\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 3) > 3/4$.

Now assume that some child of d , say k , is a leaf. Let $T' = T - k$. Let us observe that there exists a $\gamma_t^{oi}(T')$ -set that does not contain the vertex w . Let D' be such a set. The set $V(T') \setminus D'$ is independent, thus $d \in D'$. It is easy to see that D' is a TOIDS of the tree T . Thus $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \leq \gamma_t^{oi}(T')$. Now let us observe that there exists a $\gamma_2(T)$ -set that contains the vertices u and d . Let D be such a set. By Observation 2.3 we have $k \in D$. It is easy to

observe that $D \setminus \{k\}$ is a 2DS of the tree T' . Therefore $\gamma_2(T') \leq \gamma_2(T) - 1$. Now we get $(\gamma_2(T) + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T) + 2) \geq (\gamma_2(T') + 2)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2) > (\gamma_2(T') + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2) \geq 3/4$.

Now assume that $d_T(d) = 2$. First assume that there is a child of e other than d , say k , such that the distance of e to the most distant vertex of T_k is five. It suffices to consider only the possibility when T_k is a path P_5 , say $klmpq$. Let $T' = T - T_v$. Let us observe that there exists a $\gamma_t^{oi}(T')$ -set that does not contain the vertex l , and does not contain any leaf. Let D' be such a set. By Observation 2.1 we have $w \in D'$. Each one of the vertices w and k has to have a neighbor in D' , thus $d, e \in D'$. Let us observe that $D' \setminus \{w\} \cup \{u, v\}$ is a TOIDS of the tree T . Thus $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \leq \gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 1$. Now let us observe that there exists a $\gamma_2(T)$ -set that does not contain the vertex v . Let D be such a set. By Observation 2.3 we have $t \in D$. Observe that $D \setminus \{t\}$ is a 2DS of the tree T' . Therefore $\gamma_2(T') \leq \gamma_2(T) - 1$. Now we get $(\gamma_2(T) + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T) + 2) \geq (\gamma_2(T') + 1 + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 3) \geq (3(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2)/4 + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 3) = (3\gamma_t^{oi}(T')/4 + 5/2)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 3) = (3/4) \cdot (\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 10/3)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 3) > 3/4$.

Now assume that there is a child of e , say k , such that the distance of e to the most distant vertex of T_k is four. It suffices to consider only the possibility when T_k is a path P_4 , say $klmp$. Let $T' = T - T_k$. Let us observe that there exists a $\gamma_t^{oi}(T')$ -set that does not contain the vertex w . Let D' be such a set. The vertex d has to have a neighbor in D' , thus $e \in D'$. It is easy to see that $D' \cup \{l, m\}$ is a TOIDS of the tree T . Thus $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \leq \gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2$. Now let us observe that there exists a $\gamma_2(T)$ -set that does not contain the vertices m and k . Let D be such a set. By Observation 2.3 we have $p \in D$. The vertex l has no neighbor in the set D , thus $l \in D$. Observe that $D \setminus \{l, p\}$ is a 2DS of the tree T' . Therefore $\gamma_2(T') \leq \gamma_2(T) - 2$. Now we get $(\gamma_2(T) + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T) + 2) \geq (\gamma_2(T') + 1 + 2)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 4) \geq (3(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2)/4 + 2)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 4) = (3\gamma_t^{oi}(T')/4 + 7/2)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 4) = (3/4) \cdot (\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 14/3)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 4) > 3/4$.

Now assume that there is a child of e , say k , such that the distance of e to the most distant vertex of T_k is two. Thus k is a support vertex of degree two. The leaf adjacent to k we denote by l . Let $T' = T - T_k$. Let us observe that there exists a $\gamma_t^{oi}(T')$ -set that does not contain the vertex w . Let D' be such a set. The vertex d has to have a neighbor in D' , thus $e \in D'$. It is easy to see that $D' \cup \{k\}$ is a TOIDS of the tree T . Thus $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \leq \gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 1$. Now let us observe that there exists a $\gamma_2(T)$ -set that does not contain the vertex k . Let D be such a set. By Observation 2.3 we have $l \in D$. Observe that $D \setminus \{l\}$ is a 2DS of the tree T' . Therefore $\gamma_2(T') \leq \gamma_2(T) - 1$. Now we get $(\gamma_2(T) + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T) + 2) \geq (\gamma_2(T') + 1 + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 3) \geq (3(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2)/4 + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 3) = (3\gamma_t^{oi}(T')/4 + 5/2)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 3) = (3/4) \cdot (\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 10/3)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 3) > 3/4$.

Now assume that some child of e , say k , is a leaf. Let $T' = T - T_v$. Let D' be a $\gamma_t^{oi}(T')$ -set that contains no leaf. By Observation 2.1 we have $w, e \in D'$. The vertex w has to have a neighbor in D' , thus $d \in D'$. Let us observe that $D' \setminus \{w\} \cup \{u, v\}$ is a TOIDS of the tree T . Thus $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \leq \gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 1$. Now let us observe that there exists a $\gamma_2(T)$ -set that does not contain the vertex v . Let D be such a set. By Observation 2.3 we have $t \in D$. Observe that $D \setminus \{t\}$ is a 2DS of the tree T' . Therefore $\gamma_2(T') \leq \gamma_2(T) - 1$. Now we get $(\gamma_2(T) + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T) + 2) \geq (\gamma_2(T') + 1 + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 3) \geq (3(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2)/4 + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 3) = (3\gamma_t^{oi}(T')/4 + 5/2)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 3) = (3/4) \cdot (\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 10/3)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 3) > 3/4$.

Now assume that the distance of e to the most distant vertex of T_k is three. It suffices to consider only the possibility when T_k is a path P_3 , say klm . First assume that $d_T(e) \geq 4$. Let p

denote a child of e different from d and k . It suffices to consider only the possibility when T_p is a path P_3 , say pqs . Let $T' = T - T_k$. Let D' be any $\gamma_t^{oi}(T')$ -set. It is easy to see that $D' \cup \{k, l\}$ is a TOIDS of the tree T . Thus $\gamma_t^{oi} \leq \gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2$. Now let us observe that there exists a $\gamma_2(T)$ -set that contains the vertices u, d, k , and p . Let D be such a set. By Observation 2.3 we have $m \in D$. The set D is minimal, thus $l \notin D$. Let us observe that $D \setminus \{k, m\}$ is a 2DS of the tree T' as the vertex e has at least two neighbors in $D \setminus \{k, m\}$. Therefore $\gamma_2(T') \leq \gamma_2(T) - 2$. Now we get $(\gamma_2(T) + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T) + 2) \geq (\gamma_2(T') + 1 + 2)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 4) \geq (3(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2)/4 + 2)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 4) = (3\gamma_t^{oi}(T')/4 + 7/2)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 4) = (3/4) \cdot (\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 14/3)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 4) > 3/4$.

Now assume that $d_T(e) = 3$. Let $T' = T - T_e$. Let D' be any $\gamma_t^{oi}(T')$ -set. It is easy to observe that $D' \cup \{e, d, u, v, k, l\}$ is a TOIDS of the tree T . Thus $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \leq \gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 6$. Now let us observe that there exists a $\gamma_2(T)$ -set that does not contain the vertices v, w, l , and e . Let D be such a set. By Observation 2.3 we have $t, m \in D$. No one of the vertices u, d , and k has a neighbor in the set D , thus $u, d, k \in D$. Observe that $D \setminus \{d, u, t, k, m\}$ is a 2DS of the tree T' . Therefore $\gamma_2(T') \leq \gamma_2(T) - 5$. Now we get $(\gamma_2(T) + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T) + 2) \geq (\gamma_2(T') + 1 + 5)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 8) \geq (3(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2)/4 + 5)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 8) = (3\gamma_t^{oi}(T')/4 + 13/2)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 8) = (3/4) \cdot (\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 26/3)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 8) > 3/4$.

Now assume that $d_T(e) = 2$. First assume that there is a child of f other than e , say k , such that the distance of f to the most distant vertex of T_k is six. It suffices to consider only the possibility when T_k is a path P_6 , say $klmpqs$. Let $T' = T - T_e$. Let D' be any $\gamma_t^{oi}(T')$ -set. It is easy to observe that $D' \cup \{e, d, u, v\}$ is a TOIDS of the tree T . Thus $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \leq \gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 4$. Now let us observe that there exists a $\gamma_2(T)$ -set that does not contain the vertices v, w , and e . Let D be such a set. By Observation 2.3 we have $t \in D$. No one of the vertices u and d has a neighbor in the set D , thus $u, d \in D$. Observe that $D \setminus \{d, u, t\}$ is a 2DS of the tree T' . Therefore $\gamma_2(T') \leq \gamma_2(T) - 3$. Now we get $(\gamma_2(T) + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T) + 2) \geq (\gamma_2(T') + 1 + 3)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 6) \geq (3(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2)/4 + 3)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 6) = (3\gamma_t^{oi}(T')/4 + 9/2)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 6) = 3/4$. If $(\gamma_2(T) + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T) + 2) = 3/4$, then $(\gamma_2(T') + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2) = 3/4$. By the inductive hypothesis we have $T' \in \mathcal{T}$. The tree T can be obtained from T' by operation \mathcal{O}_1 . Thus $T \in \mathcal{T}$.

Now assume that there is a child of f , say k , such that the distance of f to the most distant vertex of T_k is five. It suffices to consider only the possibility when T_k is a path P_5 , say $klmpq$. Let $T' = T - T_v - T_k$. Let us observe that there exists a $\gamma_t^{oi}(T')$ -set that does not contain the vertex e , and does not contain any leaf. Let D' be such a set. By Observation 2.1 we have $w \in D'$. The vertex w has to have a neighbor in D' , thus $d \in D'$. We have $f \in D'$ as the set $V(T') \setminus D'$ is independent. Let us observe that $D' \setminus \{w\} \cup \{e, u, v, k, m, p\}$ is a TOIDS of the tree T . Thus $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \leq \gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 5$. Now let us observe that there exists a $\gamma_2(T)$ -set that contains the vertices u, d, f, m , and k . Let D be such a set. By Observation 2.3 we have $t, q \in D$. The set D is minimal, thus $v, p, l \notin D$. It is easy to observe that $D \setminus \{t, k, m, q\}$ is a 2DS of the tree T' . Therefore $\gamma_2(T') \leq \gamma_2(T) - 4$. Now we get $(\gamma_2(T) + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T) + 2) \geq (\gamma_2(T') + 1 + 4)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 7) \geq (3(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2)/4 + 4)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 7) = (3\gamma_t^{oi}(T')/4 + 11/2)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 7) = (3/4) \cdot (\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 22/3)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 7) > 3/4$.

Now assume that there is a child of f , say k , such that the distance of f to the most distant vertex of T_k is four. It suffices to consider only the possibility when T_k is path P_4 , say $klmp$. Let $T' = T - T_w - T_k$. Let D' be a $\gamma_t^{oi}(T')$ -set that contains no leaf. By Observation 2.1 we have $e \in D'$. The vertex e has to have a neighbor in D' , thus $f \in D'$. It is easy to

observe that $D' \cup \{d, u, v, l, m\}$ is a TOIDS of the tree T . Thus $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \leq \gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 5$. Now let us observe that there exists a $\gamma_2(T)$ -set that does not contain the vertices v, w, m , and k . Let D be such a set. By Observation 2.3 we have $t, p \in D$. No one of the vertices u and l has a neighbor in the set D , thus $u, l \in D$. Observe that $D \setminus \{u, t, l, p\}$ is a 2DS of the tree T' . Therefore $\gamma_2(T') \leq \gamma_2(T) - 4$. Now we get $(\gamma_2(T) + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T) + 2) \geq (\gamma_2(T') + 1 + 4)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 7) \geq (3(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2)/4 + 4)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 7) = (3\gamma_t^{oi}(T')/4 + 11/2)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 7) = (3/4) \cdot (\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 22/3)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 7) > 3/4$.

Now assume that there is a child of f , say k , such that the distance of f to the most distant vertex of T_k is three. It suffices to consider only the possibility when T_k is a path P_3 , say klm . Let $T' = T - T_k$. Let D' be any $\gamma_t^{oi}(T')$ -set. It is easy to see that $D' \cup \{k, l\}$ is a TOIDS of the tree T . Thus $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \leq \gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2$. Now let us observe that there exists a $\gamma_2(T)$ -set that contains the vertices u, d, f , and k . Let D be such a set. By Observation 2.3 we have $m \in D$. The set D is minimal, thus $l \notin D$. It is easy to observe that $D \setminus \{k, m\}$ is a 2DS of the tree T' . Therefore $\gamma_2(T') \leq \gamma_2(T) - 2$. Now we get $(\gamma_2(T) + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T) + 2) \geq (\gamma_2(T') + 1 + 2)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 4) \geq (3(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2)/4 + 2)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 4) = (3\gamma_t^{oi}(T')/4 + 7/2)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 4) = (3/4) \cdot (\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 14/3)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 4) > 3/4$.

Now assume that there is a child of f , say k , such that the distance of f to the most distant vertex of T_k is two. Thus k is a support vertex of degree two. Let $T' = T - T_e$. Similarly as earlier we conclude that $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \leq \gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 4$ and $\gamma_2(T') \leq \gamma_2(T) - 3$. Now we get $(\gamma_2(T) + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T) + 2) \geq (\gamma_2(T') + 1 + 3)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 6) \geq (3(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2)/4 + 3)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 6) = (3\gamma_t^{oi}(T')/4 + 9/2)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 6) = 3/4$. If $(\gamma_2(T) + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T) + 2) = 3/4$, then $(\gamma_2(T') + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2) = 3/4$. By the inductive hypothesis we have $T' \in \mathcal{T}$. The tree T can be obtained from T' by operation \mathcal{O}_2 . Thus $T \in \mathcal{T}$.

Now assume that some child of f , say k , is a leaf. Let $T' = T - k$. Let D' be any $\gamma_t^{oi}(T')$ -set. If $f \in D'$, then it is easy to see that D' is a TOIDS of the tree T . Now assume that $f \notin D'$. Let us observe that $D' \cup \{f\}$ is a TOIDS of the tree T . Thus $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \leq \gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 1$. Now let us observe that there exists a $\gamma_2(T)$ -set that contains the vertices u, d , and f . Let D be such a set. By Observation 2.3 we have $k \in D$. It is easy to observe that $D \setminus \{k\}$ is a 2DS of the tree T' . Therefore $\gamma_2(T') \leq \gamma_2(T) - 1$. Now we get $(\gamma_2(T) + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T) + 2) \geq (\gamma_2(T') + 1 + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 3) \geq (3(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2)/4 + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 3) = (3\gamma_t^{oi}(T')/4 + 5/2)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 3) = (3/4) \cdot (\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 10/3)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 3) > 3/4$.

Now assume that $d_T(f) = 2$. Let $T' = T - T_e$. Similarly as earlier we conclude that $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \leq \gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 4$ and $\gamma_2(T') \leq \gamma_2(T) - 3$. Now we get $(\gamma_2(T) + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T) + 2) \geq (\gamma_2(T') + 1 + 3)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 6) \geq (3(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2)/4 + 3)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 6) = (3\gamma_t^{oi}(T')/4 + 9/2)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 6) = 3/4$. If $(\gamma_2(T) + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T) + 2) = 3/4$, then $(\gamma_2(T') + 1)/(\gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2) = 3/4$. By the inductive hypothesis we have $T' \in \mathcal{T}$. The tree T can be obtained from T' by operation \mathcal{O}_3 . Thus $T \in \mathcal{T}$. \square

Acknowledgments

Thanks are due to Mustapha Chellali for suggesting the problem.

References

- [1] R. Allan, R. Laskar, and S. Hedetniemi, *A note on total domination*, Discrete Mathematics 49 (1984), 7–13.
- [2] S. Arumugam and A. Thiraiswamy, *Total domination in graphs*, Ars Combinatoria 43 (1996), 89–92.
- [3] M. Atapour and N. Soltankhah, *On total dominating sets in graphs*, International Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Sciences 4 (2009), 253–257.
- [4] M. Blidia, M. Chellali, and L. Volkmann, *Bounds of the 2-domination number of graphs*, Utilitas Mathematica 71 (2006), 209–216.
- [5] M. Blidia, O. Favaron, and R. Lounes, *Locating-domination, 2-domination and independence in trees*, Australasian Journal of Combinatorics 42 (2008), 309–316.
- [6] M. Chellali, O. Favaron, T. Haynes, and D. Raber, *Ratios of some domination parameters in trees*, Discrete Mathematics 308 (2008), 3879–3887.
- [7] E. Cockayne, R. Dawes, and S. Hedetniemi, *Total domination in graphs*, Networks 10 (1980), 211–219.
- [8] P. Dankelmann, D. Day, J. Hattingh, M. Henning, L. Markus, and H. Swart, *On equality in an upper bound for the restrained and total domination numbers of a graph*, Discrete Mathematics 307 (2007), 2845–2852.
- [9] M. Dorfling, W. Goddard, and M. Henning, *Domination in planar graphs with small diameter II*, Ars Combinatoria 78 (2006), 237–255.
- [10] M. El-Zahar, S. Gravier, and A. Klobucar, *On the total domination number of cross products of graphs*, Discrete Mathematics 308 (2008), 2025–2029.
- [11] O. Favaron, H. Karami, and S. Sheikholeslami, *Total domination in K_5 - and K_6 -covered graphs*, Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science 10 (2008), 35–42.
- [12] J. Fink and M. Jacobson, *n -domination in graphs*, Graph Theory with Applications to Algorithms and Computer Science, Wiley, New York, 1985, 282–300.
- [13] A. Frendrup, P. Vestergaard, and A. Yeo, *Total domination in partitioned graphs*, Graphs and Combinatorics (2009), 181–196.
- [14] J. Fujisawa, A. Hansberg, T. Kubo, A. Saito, M. Sugita, and L. Volkmann, *Independence and 2-domination in bipartite graphs*, Australasian Journal of Combinatorics 40 (2008), 265–268.
- [15] A. Hansberg and L. Volkmann, *On graphs with equal domination and 2-domination numbers*, Discrete Mathematics 308 (2008), 2277–2281.
- [16] T. Haynes, S. Hedetniemi, and P. Slater, *Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1998.
- [17] T. Haynes, S. Hedetniemi, and P. Slater (eds.), *Domination in Graphs: Advanced Topics*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1998.
- [18] M. Henning and J. McCoy, *Total domination in planar graphs of diameter two*, Discrete Mathematics 309 (2009), 6181–6189.
- [19] P. Ho, *A note on the total domination number*, Utilitas Mathematica 77 (2008), 97–100.
- [20] Y. Jiao and H. Yu, *On graphs with equal 2-domination and connected 2-domination numbers*, Mathematica Applicata. Yingyong Shuxue 17 (2004), suppl., 88–92.
- [21] M. Krzywkowski, *Total outer-independent domination in graphs*, submitted.
- [22] R. Shaheen, *Bounds for the 2-domination number of toroidal grid graphs*, International Journal of Computer Mathematics 86 (2009), 584–588.
- [23] S. Thomass and A. Yeo, *Total domination of graphs and small transversals of hypergraphs*, Combinatorica 27 (2007), 473–487.
- [24] M. Zwierchowski, *Total domination number of the conjunction of graphs*, Discrete Mathematics 307 (2007), 1016–1020.